

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Kerman, Sara J. \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: Posting submissions
Date: Monday, December 18, 2017 9:05:13 AM

We officially reported 82 submissions sent to us, but some have already been withdrawn. So that's why I guess there are only 78 in the Master List of Submitters.

We will be updating the Uploads folder today and tomorrow. We're having a meeting tomorrow morning to officially decided what's in and out.

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 9:03 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Yep – all sounds good. Just let me know if you need me to anything additional. I see there are about 22 zip files in the upload folder as of now. Is the final number of Round1 Submissions=78? That's what I counted on the Master List of Submitter's so I just wanted to make sure.

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 8:51 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Yes. I'm working with Jacob so that the zip files will all be in a folder called Upload in the Documents folder of the sharepoint site. So you will only need to take the list of accepted submissions, and then find their zip file in that folder, and upload it. Submissions will be one zip file, unless their KAT files were really big, and then we'll have one zip file for their submission without the KAT file, and a second zip file with their KATs.

I will send out an announcement on the forum that the accepted submissions are posted, and I will send out individual emails as well.

Does that all seem okay?

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 18, 2017 8:48 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Is someone still putting together the zip files for me to post? Are you sending out the letters to the submitter's letting them know their submission was accepted? I guess the two have to be

somewhat coordinated.

Also – once the letters have been sent to the submitter(s), I can follow up with the VISA letter to O. Chakraborty.

-Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 12:13 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

I've attached a submission. Most are somewhat like this one, but there are of course variations. **We can give all of them to you as .zip files.** Most did submit that way, but not all.

Each zip file should have 4 folders: KAT, Optimized Implementation, Reference Implementation, Supporting Documentation, and also a README.txt file.

Sometimes they included other stuff, but this is what we asked for. The cover sheet and main specification document should be in the Supporting Documentation.

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:58 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

I'm not sure how much the team used the comments – if you look at the “History of Updates” link, you can see when comments were added.

RE: Principal Submitters -- thanks Dan! ☺ I'll ask Jim if there is a pop up or anything we can do.

My last day here is Dec 21 – can you forward me a sample submission package of what will I will need to include? There may be 508-compliant stuff that has to be done, etc. Did most submitters send their packages as a zip file?

I will be at home Dec 26 and 27 and can work on anything that didn't get finished before the 21st.

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:49 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>

Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Sara,

Okay, so we'll use zip files. Overall, I think the format looks good to me. If Jim has any tools to help, that'd be good. Do you know if the Submit Comment/View Comment got used much? I wasn't aware we'd done that.

As for principal submitters, Dan started a trend where the whole team is the principal submitter, and there are no auxiliary submitters. So we might need to list several people's names.

I'll think about the current webpage, and what changes I think would be good....

If we want to get this all to you before you go on leave, when do you think that deadline should be?

Dustin

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:42 AM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: Posting submissions

Hey Dustin,

I was looking into this last week. Here is a link to the wayback archive:
https://web.archive.org/web/20100801013340/csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/hash/sha-3/Round1/submissions_rnd1.html We did use zip files. We did not provide any contact information, unless it was included within the zip file by the submitter. As you can see, we later added the "Submitter's Website" if they had one.

We purposefully only included the principal submitters name because the page would have been too long including all. By Round 3 - we included all contributors.

Do you want to follow a similar format? I would also like to talk to Jim in case he has a better way, with the new content management system. He was out last week also, so I thought I'd let him catch up on work first! ☺

We can update the pages now – RE evaluating vs. calling for submissions. Just let me know what you would like it to say.

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Monday, December 11, 2017 11:33 AM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: Posting submissions

Sara,

Is the link where all the SHA-3 submissions were posted still available? Or do you know what it

looked like? What format did we post things? Zip files? Did we include everything the submitter sent us? Is there any info (like telephone numbers) that we shouldn't post?

Most of our submissions are reasonable size, but there are a few that are quite large (more than 100mb). Do you have a better idea of when your last day here will be before you go on leave?

After Christmas, we probably should update our webpage to match more our status of evaluating submissions, rather than calling for them.

Dustin